Optimization of Selected Parameters of Sorghum Threshing Unit

Abich S. O., Ngunjiri G. M. N., Njue M. R

Abstract – Manual methods of sorghum threshing in Kenya causes grain loss of up to 4% of total sorghum production. Optimization of selected machine parameters was conducted for a prototype sorghum thresher developed using engineering principles. The optimization was based on experiments conducted using Taguchi technique. The parameters: peg spacing, drum diameter and drum peripheral speeds were investigated. Taguchi L₉ orthogonal array was specifically selected for the experiments in order to maximize threshing efficiency and throughput per unit energy consumption and minimize grain mechanical damage. The results were subjected to signal to noise ratio analysis. Maximum threshing efficiency was attained with drum diameter of 400 mm, peg spacing of 50 mm and drum speed of 12 m s⁻¹. The maximum throughput per unit energy consumption was achieved using drum diameter of 400 mm, peg spacing of 50 mm, drum speed of 10 m s⁻¹ whereas minimum grain damage was realized with drum diameter of 400 mm, peg spacing of 50 mm and drum speed of 8 m s⁻¹. The study therefore recommends threshing unit settings consisting of drum diameter of 400 mm, peg spacing of 50 mm and peripheral speed of 12 m s⁻¹.

Index Terms— Grain damage, Optimization, Signal to Noise Ratio, Taguchi Method, Threshing Efficiency, Throughput

1 INTRODUCTION

GRAIN sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) is Kenya's second most important cereal crop after maze. Sorghum is used to manufacture wax, starch, syrup, alcohol and edible oils [1]. Losses occur during threshing due to incomplete removal of grains from heads and grain damage. A possible cause of this could be improper peg geometry on the threshing drum.

Damaged grains are prone to attack by fungi and reduce the grade and marketability of the grain [2]. The problem of tresing grain losses can be addressed by optimization of threshing parameters

Various methods have been employed by researchers to optimize the parameters affecting threshing performance. Salari *et al.* [3] optimized a chicken pea thresher using response surface methodology (RSM). Optimal settings were determined as cylinder speed of 10.63 m s⁻¹, concave clearance of 13.74 mm, feed rate of 240 kg h⁻¹ and moisture content of 12% (wb).The optimum values of grain damage and threshing efficiency were 3 and 98.3 percent.

Singh *et al.* [4] optimized machine parameters of a pedaloperated paddy thresher using RSM. The machine performance was evaluated for optimal design parameters of wire loop spacing 39.1 mm, wire loop tip height 60.6 mm and threshing drum speed 339.46 m min⁻¹. The corresponding threshing capacity and efficiency were 64.6 kg h⁻¹ and 96.4% respectively.

Mishra and Saha [5] optimized machine parameters of fin-

ger millet thresher using artificial neural network (ANN). The threshing capacity (32.4 kg h^{-1}) and threshing efficiency (98.41 %) were evaluated at its optimal design parameter settings: number of canvas strips on the drum periphery (8) and peripheral drum speed (7.97 m/s).

Wangete *et al.* [6] developed a groundnut sheller and reported an optimal throughput per unit energy consumption of 921.03 kg h⁻¹kWh⁻¹ at a shelling speed of 12.2 m s⁻¹, 1200 kg h⁻¹ feed rate and 10 mm concave clearance using Taguchi Method.

Taguchi technique is an opimization method used to obtain product and process conditions which produce high-quality products [7]. The method helps to arrive at the best parameters for optimal conditions with the least number of analytical investigations at a lower cost than the corresponding full factorial experiment [8].

Said *et al.* [9] made a comparison between Taguchi method and response surface methodology (RSM) to optimize machining condition for aluminum silicon alloy. They found that Taguchi method required less number of experiments than RSM.

Youssef *et al.* [10] compared full factorial design, fractional factorial design and Taguchi design. They found that Taguchi design was able to reduce experiments from 288 trials of full factorial design to only 16 trials.

Taguchi technique uses two tools in optimization: orthogonal array and signal to noise ratio. An orthogonal array is a matrix of numbers arranged in rows and columns with every row representing a set of parameters for one run of the experiment. Signal-to-noise ratio is an average performance characteristic value for each experiment.

The Taguchi technique identifies three different forms of signal-to-noise ratio depending on objective of the research. The *nominal-the-better* arises when neither a smaller nor a larger value is desired. The *higher-the-better* is used if the system is optimized when the response is as large as possible while the

Abich S, O. Department of Agricultural Engineering, Egerton University P, O. Box 536 -20115 Egerton, Kenya. Email: <u>Abich.otieno@egerton.ac.ke</u>

Ngunjiri G, M, N, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Egerton University P, O. Box 536 -20115 Egerton, Kenya. Email: ngunjirigmn@yahoo.com

[•] Njue M. R. Department of Agricultural Engineering, Egerton University P, O. Box 536 -20115 Egerton, Kenya. Email: <u>musanjue@yahoo.com</u>

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 9, Issue 4, April-2018 ISSN 2229-5518

smaller-the-better is used if the system is optimized when the response is as small as possible [11]. Regardless of the category of the quality characteristic used, a greater S/N ratio corresponds to better quality characteristics.

The objective of the present study was to determine optimum machine parameters for achieving maximum threshing capacity and efficiency and least mechanical grain damage using the Taguchi Method.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental set up

Research experiments were carried out in selected sorghum farms in Migori County. Indigenous variety of sorghum (*andiwo*) was used as test material. A digital stopwatch was used for measurement of time, digital tachometer (*DT* 6236B) to determine the speed of the drum, digital weighing balance of sensitivity 0.01 g in weight measurements and a digital wattmeter connected to the motor circuit to measure power consumption

The overall dimensions of the thresher were: length of 645 mm, width of 250 mm and height of 1040 mm. The threshing unit consists of a rotating drum and a stationary concave sieve. The threshing drum was made of galvanized cylindrical metal pipe 645 mm long through which a 25.4 mm shaft passed. Pegs were welded in a staggered helical manner on the drum. At the end of the drum shaft a double groove V belt pulley made of cast iron was mounted on the shaft driven by a three phase 2.20 kW electric motor. The concave, which had holes of diameter 10 mm, was made of perforated and rolled steel sheets with a radius of 293 mm and length of 645 mm.

2.2 Performance evaluation of the thresher

The parameters selected for conducting the optimization of the thresher were drum diameter, peg spacing and drum peripheral speed. The drum diameter of the machine was varied over three ranges 200, 300 and 400 mm. The peg spacing was also varied over three levels of 50 mm, 75 mm and 100 mm whereas drum peripheral speed levels were 8, 10 and 12 m s⁻¹.

Before the sorghum heads were released into the threshing chamber, the thresher was run empty for 15 minutes to stabilize the rotation. At each drum diameter, peg spacing and drum speed setting, sorghum heads were continuously fed to the thresher for about 20 mins. The time taken for the threshing process and the power consumption during threshing were noted. All the grains and non-grain materials at the outlets and the threshing chamber were collected. The performance of the thresher was determined in terms of threshing efficiency, grain damage and throughput per unit power consumption as given below:

Threshing efficiency =
$$\left(1.00 - \frac{M_u}{M_t + M_u}\right) \times 100$$
 (1)

Where:

Mt = Mass of threshed grain Mu = Mass of unthreshed grains

Mechanical grain damage =
$$\frac{M_d}{M_t} \times 100$$
 (2)

Where:

M_d =Mass of damaged grains M_t = Mass of threshed grain

Throughput per unit energy consumption $= \frac{M_{t}}{2}$ (3)

Where:

Mt = Mass of threshed grain p = Electric energy consumed (kWh) t = time of test run (h)

Since it was desired to maximize the threshing efficiency and throughput per unit energy consumption, the *higher-the-better* quality characteristic was employed [12]:

$$\frac{S}{N} = -10 \log \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{y_i^2} \right]$$
(4)

The *smaller-the-better* quality characteristic was used to obtain minimum mechanical grain damage according to [13]:

$$\frac{S}{N} = -10 \log \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 \right]$$
(5)

Where:

n = number of experiment replications in a trial and $y_{i=1}$ ith measured output value for the trial.

Taguchi L₉ orthogonal array (Table 1) was used to optimise the factors affecting threshing performance.

| | Control parameters | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|---------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Experiment | Drum diam- | Peg | Drum periph- | | | | |
| No | eter | spacing | eral speed | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | | | | |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | | | | |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | | | | |
| 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | | | | |

| | 1 7 1 1 1 1 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Lable 1. The Lagitchi Lo orthogon: | al array for optimization experiments |
| Tuble I. The Tugueni Ly orthogon | in array for optimization experiments |

Experiments were conducted using the L_9 orthogonal array shown in Table 2. with 9 rows corresponding to the number of experiments as

| Expt No. | Table 2: The optimization Drum diameter (mm) | | Drum peripheral speed (m/s) | |
|----------|---|-----|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 200 | 50 | 12 | |
| 2 | 200 | 75 | 10 | |
| 3 | 200 | 100 | 8 | |
| 4 | 300 | 50 | 10 | |
| 5 | 300 | 75 | 12 | |
| 6 | 300 | 100 | 8 | |
| 7 | 400 | 50 | 10 | |
| 8 | 400 | 75 | 12 | |
| 9 | 400 | 100 | 8 | |

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the experiments are presented in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Results of optimization experiments

| Expt. No. | Drum diameter | Peg spacing | Drum peripheral | Threshir | Threshing efficiency | | nical grain mage | Throughput /energy con- sumption | |
|--------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|------|---------------------|--|-----------|
| | (mm) | (mm) | speed | Mean | S/N Ratio | Mean | S/N Ra- | Mean | S/N Ratio |
| | | | (m s ⁻¹) | (%) | (dB) | (%) | tio (dB) | (kg h ⁻¹) kWh ⁻¹)) | (dB) |
| 1 | 200 | 50 | 10 | 94.51 | 59.93 | 5.80 | -15.14 | 123.74 | 33.56 |
| 2 | 200 | 75 | 8 | 92.70 | 43.81 | 4.81 | -13.64 | 97.89 | 31.28 |
| 3 | 200 | 100 | 6 | 91.00 | 53.46 | 3.00 | -9.24 | 67.84 | 33.06 |
| 4 | 300 | 50 | 8 | 95.10 | 60.09 | 4.81 | -13.45 | 141.91 | 34.54 |
| 5 | 300 | 75 | 10 | 95.99 | 58.30 | 4.89 | -13.59 | 123.98 | 32.33 |
| 6 | 300 | 100 | 6 | 92.31 | 53.02 | 2.20 | -6.49 | 75.28 | 33.98 |
| 7 | 400 | 50 | 8 | 97.01 | 59.67 | 4.30 | -12.47 | 153.27 | 35.22 |
| 8 | 400 | 75 | 10 | 97.01 | 58.71 | 3.02 | -9.30 | 131.52 | 33.13 |
| 9 | 400 | 100 | 6 | 93.10 | 53.06 | 1.19 | -0.82 | 83.46 | 34.89 |

i. Threshing efficiency

The mean S.N ratio for threshing efficiency is presented in

Table 4. It was found that the greatest influence on threshing efficiency was drum speed while drum diameter had the least effect. Maximum threshing efficiency was achieved with 400

1439

IJSER © 2018 http://www.ijser.org mm drum diameter, 50 mm peg spacing and 12 m s⁻¹ of drum peripheral speed.

| Factors | Mean S/N ratios (dB) | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|---------|---------|-------|------|--|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Range | Rank | |
| Drum diameter | 52.1 | 57.5 | 57.6* | 5.50 | 3 | |
| Peg spacing | 59.6* | 54.3 | 53.3 | 6.34 | 2 | |
| Drum speed | 53.3 | 54.3 | 59.6* | 6.36 | 1 | |

Table 4: Mean S/N values for threshing efficiency

* S/N values for optimum output.

ii. Mechanical grain damage

Table 5 gives mean S/N ratios for mechanical grain damage.

| Factors | Mean S/N ratio (dB) | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|---|--|--|
| | Level 1 | Range | Rank | | | | |
| Drum diameter | -12.67 | -11.17 | -7.52* | 5.14 | 3 | | |
| Peg spacing | -13.68 | -12.17 | -2.76* | 10.92 | 1 | | |
| Drum speed | -5.52* | -6.59 | -12.67 | 7.15 | 2 | | |

* S/N values for optimum output

Minimum mechanical grain damage was achieved with 400 mm drum diameter, 100 mm peg spacing and 8 m s⁻¹ of drum peripheral speed. The greatest influence on threshing efficiency was peg spacing while drum diameter had the least effect.

iii. Throughput per unit energy consumption

Table 6 gives mean S/N ratio for throughput per unit energy consumption.

Table 6: Mean S/N for throughput per unit energy consumption

| Factors | Mean S/N Ratio (dB) | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|------|---|--|
| | Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Range | | | | | |
| Drum diameter | 32.64 | 32.20 | 34.07* | 1.98 | 2 | |
| Peg spacing | 34.43* | 32.20 | 33.98 | 2.23 | 1 | |
| Drum speed | 33.06 | 33.56 | 34.07* | 1.01 | 3 | |

* S/N values for optimum output.

Peg spacing was found to have the greatest effect on throughput per unit energy consumption while drum peripheral speed had the least effect. Maximum grain output per unit energy consumption was achieved with 400 mm drum diameter, 50 mm peg spacing and 12 m s⁻¹ of drum peripheral speed.

iv. Optimal factor levels

Table 7 gives the combination of optimal factor levels, Based on the need for higher throughput per unit energy consumption and low mechanical grain damage, combination 3 comprising 400 mm drum diameter, 50 mm peg spacing and drum peripheral speed 10 m s⁻¹ was selected as the optimal factor levels.

| Combination | Optimum Factor Combinations | | | Responses | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| | Drum diam- eter (mm) | Peg spacing (mm) | Drum speed (m/s) | Threshing Efficiency (%) | Mechanical grain damage (%) | Throughput/ energy con- sumption (kg h ⁻¹) kWh ⁻¹ | |
| 1 | 400 | 50 | 12 | 99 | 5 | 140 | |
| 2 | 400 | 100 | 8 | 93 | 1 | 84 | |
| 3 | 400 | 50 | 12 | 97 | 4 | 153 | |

Confirmation experiment was carried out at the selected optimal setting and the results of threshing efficiency (98%), Mechanical grain damage (5%) and Throughput per unit energy consumption (158 (kg h⁻¹) kWh⁻¹) were in close agreement with the output at the determined optimal factor levels for the thresher.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

The effect of the control variables, drum diameter, peg spacing and drum peripheral speed, threshing efficiency, mechanical grain damage and throughput per unit energy consumption of a sorghum thresher was investigated. The significance of these control parameters on the response variables was identified using the Taguchi technique.

The following conclusions are made about the performance of the sorghum thresher.

- 1. Drum diameter 400 mm, 50 mm peg spacing and drum peripheral speed 12 m s⁻¹ were found as the optimal factor levels:
- 2. Among the three control parameters, peg spacing had the greatest influence on grain mechanical damage and throughput per unit energy consumption while drum diameter had the greatest effect on threshing efficiency.
- 3. The results from the confirmation test runs were very close to the output at the selected optimum settings and the deviation is less than 10 percent.

6.3 Recommendation

The threshing parameters should be optimized using other optimization methods such as response surface methodology (RSM) to confirm the optimal settings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by a grant from AfDB.

REFERENCES

- H. A Agrama and M. R. Tuinstra. "Phylogenetic diversity and relationships among sorghum accessions using SSRs and RAPDs". *Afric. J. Biotech.* vol. 2 no. 10 pp. 334 - 340. 2003.
- [2] Y. J. Wang, D. S. Chung, C. K. Spillman, S. R. Eckhoff, C. Rhee, and H. H. Converse, "Evaluation of laboratory grain cleaning and sepa-

rating Equipment". Trans. ASAE, vol. 37 no. 2 pp. 507 – 513. 1994.

- [3] Salari, K., Chayjan, R., Khazaei, J. and Parian, J. (2013). Optimization of independent parameters for chickpea threshing using Response Surface Method (RSM). J. Agr. Sci. Tech. vol. 15 pp. 467-477.
- [4] K.P. Singh, L. Pardeshib, M. Kumara, K. Srinivasa, and A.K. Srivastvaa. "Optimisation of machine parameters of a pedal-operated paddy thresher using RSM". *Biosystems Engineering* vol. 2 no. 10 pp. 591 – 600. 2008.
- [5] H. N. Mishra, and, S. Saha. "Optimization of Machine Parameters of Finger Millet Thresher-Cum-Pearler". Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa & Latin America, vol. 41 no. 1 pp. 60-67. 2016
- [6] I. S. Wangette, D. M. Nyaanga, and M. R. Njue. "Influence of groundnut and machine characteristics on motorised sheller performance". *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry.* 3(5): 178 - 191. 2015.
- [7] M. M. Mostafa, M. G., K. A., Nouby Abd El-Gwwad, K. R. Mahmoud and A. M. Abd-El-Tawwab. "Optimization of a new wedge disc brake using Taguchi Approach", *Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, vol. 3 no. 6 pp. 735-744. 2013.
- [8] Y. P. Ballal, K. H. Inamdar, and P. V. Patil, "Application of Taguchi Method for design of experiments in turning gray cast iron". *International journal of Engineering Research and Application (IJERA)*, vol. 2 no. 3 pp. 1391 - 1397. (2012).
- [9] M. S. M. Said, J. A. Ghani, M. S. Kassim, S. H. Tomadi, and C. H. C. Haron. "Comparison between Taguchi method and response surface methodology (RSM) in optimizing machining condition", *Internation*al Conference on Robust Quality Engineering, pp. 60-64. 2013.
- [10] Y. A., Youssef, Y. Beauchamp, and M. Thomas, Comparison of a full factorial experiment to fractional and Taguchi designs in a lathe dry turning operation, *Computer & Industrial Engineering*, vol. 27 pp. 59 – 62, 1994.
- [11] S. M. Mezarcioz, and T. R. Ogulata, "The use of Taguchi design of experiment method in optimizing spirality angle of single jersey fabrics'. *Texstil ve Konfeksiyon*, vol. 4 pp. 374 - 380. 2011.
- [12] Athreya, S. and Venkatesh, Y.D.. "Application of Taguchi method for optimization of process parameters in improving the surface roughness of lathe facing operation". *International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science* vol. 1 no. 3 pp. 13-19. .2012
- [13] R. Rao, and G. Padmanabhan. "Application of Taguchi methods and ANOVA in optimization of process parameters for metal removal rate in electrochemical machining of Al/5%SiC composites". *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications* (IJERA). vol. 2 no. 3 pp. 192 – 197. 2012.